| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 09/936,987 | KRAMERICH ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | PELING A. SHAW | 2144 |
| Il Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>PELING A. SHAW</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Craig Lervick (reg. no. 35,244)</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 17 April 2009 | Time: <u>1:00 pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner calls applicant to discuss and agree upon amending the claim language as per Amendment dated 01/31/2008 to include features from claim 26 into claim 1, 3 and 16. Other minor amendments to Amendment dated 01/31/2008 are discussed and agreed upon as an Examiner's Amendment upon paper number 20080417 | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2144 | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |